UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,433	03/19/2004	Atsushi Nakajima	KOY-29	7159
20311 LUCAS & MEI	7590 03/27/2007 RCANTI LLP	EXAMINER		
475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH 15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016			TRAN, LY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		$\mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/804,433	NAKAJIMA, ATSUSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ly T. TRAN	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
≨1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1</u>	0 January 2007.	·			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
(5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9</u> is/are rejected.		·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	. 4/				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
 Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But 	•	received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 3, -94, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills et al (US. 2003/0035037) in view of Hoisington et al (US 2003/0164866)

With respect to claims 1-4, 6 and 7-9, Mills discloses an apparatus and a method of an image recording comprising: a recording head of an ink jet system for jetting an ultraviolet curable ink on a recording medium to form an image (Abstract); and an irradiation device for radiating an ultraviolet ray to the ink placed on the recording medium to cure and fix the ink (Column 3: [0050]), a recording type is a serial print type in which the recording head of the ink jet system and the irradiation device for radiating an ultraviolet ray are mounted on a same carriage (Column 1:[0011]) and a recording type is a line print type (Column 1: [0010]) and four or more recording heads for forming an image by jetting four colors of inks of yellow, magenta, cyan, and black (Column 3: [0050])



Art Unit: 2853

1

However, Mills fails to teach an apparatus has a plurality of recording modes with different image recording speeds for changing a maximum amount of ink to be jetted corresponding to the plurality of recording modes, drop size and the maximum amount of ink to be jetted is decreased for a recording mode with a high image recording speed, and the maximum amount of ink to be jetted is increased for a recording mode with a low image recording speed, in the plurality of recording modes and generating almost no gap on the recording medium.

Hoisington teaches an apparatus has a plurality of recording modes (column 3[0035]) with different image recording speeds for changing a maximum amount of ink to be jetted corresponding to the plurality of recording modes in the plurality of recording modes, the maximum amount of ink to be jetted is decreased for a recording mode with a high image recording speed, and the maximum amount of ink to be jetted is increased for a recording mode with a low image recording speed, in the plurality of recording modes and generating almost no gap on the recording medium. (Column 3: [0035])

Hoisington teaches drop variability volume is accommodated jet control is provided. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have determined the maximum amount of ink to be jet by ink droplet size and the total amount of ink to be jetted is 5 g/m.sup.2 or more, since applicant has not discloses that the total amount of ink to be jetted is 5 g/m.sup.2 or more solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any amount of ink.

Art Unit: 2853

2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills et al (US. 2003/0035037) in view of Hoisington et al (US 2003/0164866) as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of Hintermann (US 2004/0189770)

The combination of Mills and Hoisington fails to teach the recording type is a flat bed print type.

Hintermann teach the recording type is a flat bed print type (Column1: [0003]).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as modify Mills to use a flat bed print type as taught by Hintermann.

The motivation of doing is to easily print material that cannot be rolled such as glass.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2853

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ly T. TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-2155. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th:6:30 AM-3:00PM or IFP, Friday: work at home.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LT

March 22, 2007

STEPHEN MEIER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER